IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Crl.Misc.No.15318 -M of 2007

DATE OF DECISION: MARCH 12, 2007

Ranjit Kaur

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SATISH KUMAR MITTAL

Present: Mr.P.K.Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

..

The petitioner has filed this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuing direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to register a criminal case against respondents No.4 to 7.

After hearing counsel for the petitioner and going through the contents of the petition as well as the complaint, Annexure P-1, and in view of the fact that the matter is purely of civil nature, I am not inclined to exercise the inherent power of this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C., as in my opinion, the petitioner has alternative remedy, as has been held by this Court in ***Mithlesh Kumari v. State of Punjab***, 2002 (4) RCR (Criminal) 541 and by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Gangadhar Janardan Mhatra v. State of Maharashtra and others***, (2004) 7 SCC 768 and ***Minu Kumari and another v. State of Bihar and others***, (2006) 4 SCC 359. At this stage, counsel for the petitioner states that he may be permitted to withdraw this petition with liberty to avail the alternative remedy.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

March 12, 2007 (SATISH KUMAR MITTAL)
vkg JUDGE